p 24

G. R. S. 58]

Previous references.

File No. 66 – 13

191

Serial Nos. 99 + 113

(Gen. mis)

Later references.

Genl 166-1744-13.

C B 57-28-1

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 99 and 115

Part B for September 1915.

SUBJECT.

Promotion of Mr. D. Srinivasacharyar to the 4th class of Assistant Commissioners with effect from 1st April 1912 instead of from 1st July 1913.

Sl. 99 From the Excise Commr. No 9245 & 13.6.14.

115. Order No. G 4384-6. & 28-9-15.

GPB—10,000—23-3-15

12.11.15

G.R.S. 11] 1913-14

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Gen Misc.)

NOTES.

G. M. File No. 467

FILE HEADING.

Appointments and promotions of Asst. Commrs

From

The Excise Commissioner.

No. 9765 Dated 13-6-1914 Received 18-6-14

Diary No. 9038	Enclos. 5 Spare Copies.	Serial No.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Forwards with his ~~Sup~~ remarks letter No. 327c dated the 29th May 1914 from the Dy. Comr, Kaira Dt, transmitting a memorial from Mr. D. Sundarasastri, Dt. Excise Officer with a communication that the officer's promotion to the 4th grade of the Asst Comrs may be ordered to take effect from 6.12.1913

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Cy

Subd with references. The submission note in file Gen 66 of 13-14 at 30 ante contains the facts the case.

Hg Mss 4/7/14 6 Dy Secy

2 The subject was carefully 6/7/14

NOTES—First Sheet.

GPB—35,000—15-6-12

9038

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 66 of 1913-14

Serial No.

considered in Council when it was decided to promote Lt Commr a Grade to the 4th class of Asst Commrs with effect from 1st July 1913 and there seem to be no ground to reopen a question which has been considered more than once. Subsequent good work could not justify promotion withheld on supplemental ground being given with retrospective effect

Secretary

Hon'ble

Extract put up in a closed cover. Submitted.

13.7.14

Is there any new fact or argument in the present representation which was not considered before? Asst Secy will kindly examine the case & note briefly

26/7

No new fact except that officer's name should be placed on the select list & promotion if any should be deferred for that period not for 2 years 8 months as he would have made. In case the Council recommended the

Has been returned & filed in G. S. 24.9.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—60,000—7-11-13

7038

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. of 191

Serial No.

Promotion of Mr. D. Sreenivasa Iyengar on a former occasion Mr. Krishna Iyer's representation.

Secy

There is no sufficient ground for a reconsideration of the orders already issued.

Dewan

Duraiswamy Rao 30/7

570 30/7/14

Read from Sp III on 29.10.14.

The application of Mr.D. Sreenivasa Iyengar for a modification of the Government order sanctioning his promotion to the IV class with effect from July 1913 appears to deserve some consideration. In this connection a D.O.letter I received from Mr. K. Krishna Iyer, Deputy Commissioner, Kadur District, under whom the officer is now working is put up. Mr. Krishna Iyer has also spoken to me on the subject.

Mr.D.Sreenivasa Iyengar was appointed as Probationary Assistant Commissioner in December 1906 and confirmed in the V class in December 1908. His promotion to the IV class (Rs.300) should have accrued to him in the usual course from December 1910. It has been actually sanctioned from July 1913, i.e., 2 years and 7 months after the due date.

Mr. K.Krishna Iyer states that in consequence of this order, some 20 or more of his juniors will g

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—60,000—7-11-13

Mr. D. Sreenivasiengar's Case.

File No. of 190

Serial No.

over him and that it is too hard a punishment on the officer. I am inclined to think that some concession may be shown to him, though not to the extent asked for.

The Secretary may kindly, under the orders of the Second Member, consider what reasonable concession may be extended and bring up the file at a meeting of the Council with definite suggestions.

3.5.15. RC

Second Member)

D. M. Sreenivasiengar

5/5

The question may be considered at a meeting of our Council under Rule 11

10/5/15

Chairman

C.S. on Duty 10/5

30/5/15

This may be submitted to the Secretary to Government in the Revenue Department with the file being returned in the Council section.

12/5/15

3.6.15.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
KADUR DISTRICT.

Station Chikmagalur

Dated 25th October 1914.

My dear Sir,

Adverting to the personal representations I made to you in Mysore about Mr. D. Sreenivasa Iyengar, my District Excise officer, Reg. I enclose a brief memo setting forth the main points for your consideration.

Now that Government have sanctioned his promotion only from July 1913, the result has been that about 20 or more of his juniors have gone over him - This it seems to me is too harsh a punishment for after all what could have been only trivial faults.

Apologising for this intrusion
and with my best regards
I remain
yours sincerely
M. Visvesvaraya

M. Visvesvaraya Esquire
C.I.E.

Dewan

M E M O R A N D U M.

Mr. D. Sreenivasa Iyengar, entered service on 6th December 1906 as Probationery Assistant Commissioner, was confirmed in the Vth class in December 1908 and should, in the natural course of things, have been promoted to the IVth class in December 1910. His service, however, was held to be "unapproved" and the pro - motion was deferred. The " unapproved " service extends, however only over 8 months - from July 1910 to February 1911. His sub - sequent service, since March 1911, has been continuously approved by Mr. K. Sreenivasa Rao himself, later on by Messers B. J. Kumarswami Naik and K. Chandy and lastly by myself, not to men- tion the emphatic recognition of his good work by both the past and the present Excise Commissioners , viz, Sirdar H. Kantharaja Urs and Mr. P. Raghavendra Rao.

2. Hence the date from which he becomes entitled to his promotion to the IVth class, is 8 months later than the (other - wise) due date, i. e. , July 1911 and not July 1913, as men - tioned in the Government Order. By no calculation could the omission of the period of 2 years (July 1911 to July 1913) be justified, seeing that his immediate official superiors have all uniformly reported the service of this period as " approved ". His promotion to class IV ought therefore to take effect from July 1911 and not July 1913.

3. Government have been consistently acting on the prin - ciple that subsequent good work by an officer expiates his pre - vious faults, and that it is a valid ground for the sanction of his withheld promotion with retrospective effect from the date originally due. I need only instance the cases of Messers B. Venkoba Rao and T. Chokkanna, or, more recent still, of Mr. N. P.

Viswanatha Rao,

Issued
29.10.14.

K. Krishna Iyer Esqr
Deputy Comr.
Kadur

My dear Sir,

I duly received your D.O. letter of the 25th October regarding Mr. D. Srinivasa Iyengar's promotion. The subject will receive careful consideration & [illegible].

Yours truly
KG
29.10.14.

Dewan

GPB—1,000—19-7-13

Extract from the Proceedings of the 356th Meeting of the Council of His Highness the Maharaja, held at Bangalore on the 11th August 1915.

10. SUBJECT. - (Clause v of the Schedule).

Memorial of A.S.Srinivasiengar, Assistant Commissioner, praying that his promotion to the 4th class may be given effect to from December 1911. instead of from July 1913.

ORDER PASSED.

The promotion may be given effect to from 1st April 1912.

Sd. M. Visvesvaraya,
Dewan of Mysore.

"Extract"

D. M. Narasimha Rao.
Offg. Secretary to Govt:
General Deptt.

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. | of 191 .

Serial No.	
	A draft Submission Note is put up. Dewan)
	A revised Note is put up

957
24.8.15

24.8 do

1065
28.8.15

Dues 23/8

Dues 28/8

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25,000—22-3-15

Submitted to His Highness.

The accompanying papers relate to the memori of Mr. D. Sreenivasa Iyengar, Assistant Commissioner, praying that his promotion to the 4th class may be given effect to from 6th December 1910 instead of from 1st July 1913, as at present.

2. Mr. Sreenivasa Iyengar was confirmed in the 5th class of Assistant Commissioners with effect from 6th December 1908 and his promotion would, in the ordinary course, have fallen due in December 1910, under the Civil Service Rules of 1906 which govern his case. But when the question came up before Government in Mar 1911, it was ordered that, in view of the unfavourable report received from the Inspector General of Police about this officer's work in the Police Department (from February 1910 to December 1910), the question of his promotion might be deferred.

3. The Inspector General of Police, however, subsequently explained in May 1911 that he did not intend his report to stand in the way of the officer's promotion and that he had not much opportunity to repo on his capacity for work; and the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga, under whom Mr. Sreenivasa Iyengar was then working, also stated that he had nothing to complain of him during the period he worked under the Deputy Commissioner. In the light of these explanations, the question was again considered in 1912 by the Counci who took a favourable view of the case and recommended the grant of promotion from the due date. But His Highness declined to sanction the recommendation for reasons given in letter dated 9th July 1912 from the

Private Secretary to His Highness.

4. On subsequent memorials from Mr. Sreenivasa Iyengar which were strongly supported by the Excise Commissioner, His Highness was pleased to sanction the promotion from 1st July 1913.

5. In his present memorial, Mr. Sreenivasa Iyengar represents that his promotion has been set back two years and eight months, whereas the period of 'service not approved' covers a considerably smaller period.

6. The Deputy Commissioner recommends that, as the period of unapproved service is only about eight months, the promotion may be sanctioned with effect from 1st July 1911. The Excise Commissioner concurs with him.

7. The matter was considered in Council on the 11th August 1915 and, after a careful consideration of all the circumstances of the case, the Council are of opinion that the promotion may be given effect to from 1st April 1912, the period of unapproved service being more than eight months.

8. The Deputy Commissioner rightly points out that about twenty officers would go above Mr. Sreenivasa Iyengar, if the original order remained unaltered. If the promotion is given from 1st April 1912, about sixteen officers will go above him.

9. The proposal of the Council may, in these circumstances, be graciously approved.

M. Krishnaraja

12th Sept 1915.

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja.

Subject.

Memorial of Mr. D. Srinivasa Iyengar, Assistant Commissioner, praying that his promotion to the 4th class may be given effect to from 6th December 1910 instead of from 1st July 1913.

259.

Order.

~~KRW~~

20/9/15

Lawley

22.9.15

KB

Draft
Mbr
24.9

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | G. M File No. 66 of 1913

Serial No.

Submitted with a draft for approval.

VB Hon'ble Secy 24.9.15

Approved Secy 24/9

Hon'ble Hon 27.9 27/9

115 Comptroller. Rev: Commr. & Excise Commr. —

No: F 4384-6 G 28.9.1915 —

Directs that the promotion of D. Sreeniwasa Rao, B.A., to the 4th post in Rev: Commrs. Schedule A, be given effect to from 1.4.1912 instead of from 1.7.1915 as ordered

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—20,000—30-6-15

DIARY No. 9038

1913-1914

SECTION E-M.

FILE No. 6613

Serial No 99

Ex: 9745

Ex: 675

OFFICE OF THE EXCISE COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE.

Bangalore, dated 13th June 19 14.

DIARY No 5130

1915

SECTION 57

FILE No.

Serial No.

FROM

P. Raghavendra Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

To

D.V. Narasinga Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Offg. *Secretary to the Government of*
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith in original, together with its enclosures, letter dated the 28th Ultimo, from the Deputy Commissioner, Kadur District, recommending that the promotion to class IV recently ordered by Government to Mr. D. Srinivasa Iyengar, District Excise Officer, Kadur District, may be given with effect from 6th December 1910 and to state that from what I have seen of this young official's work during the four months that I have myself held charge of this Department and from the impression created in my mind when I had occasion to see him in person, I feel no hesitation in supporting the Deputy Commissioner and recommending to Government the claims of this officer for a favourable consideration of the orders passed in his case. The recommendation made in the concluding paragraph of the Deputy Commissioner's letter has my full concurrence and approval. Precedents are not wanting and it appears to me that it would not be in the interests of the Service itself if some allowance was not made for bona fide shortcomings on the part of a young officer and the situation was not viewed in a sympathetic manner. In my opinion a little consideration shewn at this stage in a case like this would be to the advantage of the Government itself in the end.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Assistant to the Excise Commissioner
for Excise Commissioner absent
from station.

S.S.R.
15-3-'14

19 .

FROM

Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

To

Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Gen. and Rev. Depts.

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.

Enclosure No.

SUBJECT.

GPB—1,350—25-11-12

F.8031.

191

From K.Krishna Iyer Esquire.
Deputy Commissioner,
Kadur District.

To P.Raghavendra Rao Esq.B.A.B.L
Excise Commissioner in
Mysore, Bangalore.

Dated 28th.May 1914.
Despatched 29th
Received.
General No. 3270
No.
Enclosure No. 4

Recommends Mr.D.Srinivasa Iyengar's promotion with retrospective effect.

GPB—50,000—8-1-13

Kadur Deputy Commissioner's office

Dated Chikmagalur 28th.May 1914.

From

K.Krishna Iyer Esquire

Deputy Commissioner

Kadur District.

To

P.Raghavendra Rao Esq. B.A.B.L.,

Excise Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith letter dated 24th. May with its enclosures, from Mr.D.Srinivasaingar, Excise Assistant Commissioner, praying for reasons set forth therein, that the promotion to cl IV. recently ordered by Government may be given to him with effect from 6th. December 1910.

2. As I have already remarked in my letter No. 1549 dated 1st. December 1913, his reverses seem to be due more to his misfortune than to any real shortcomings on his part.

3. I am sure you will agree with me that unfavourable reports relating only to a short period of 8 months cannot form a legitimate ground for depriving him of his promotion for such a long period as two years and 8 months, considering all that

(this

this means to him by way of supersession by juniors and ruin of future prospects.

4. I believe there are precedents for sanctioning one's withheld increments with retrospective effect from the due date, in case there is visible subsequent improvement. In view of his subsequent improvement and good work done and in view of the fact that the original unfavourable reports were later on withdrawn or modified by the reporting officers themselves, it would not perhaps be too much to expect that the Government may be graciously pleased to grant his prayer.

5. I have already given in the letter quoted above my opinion of his work and capacity in unmistakable language. I am glad to say that his subsequent work has only strengthened me in that opinion.

6. I venture to think that, in the light of these facts, Mr. D. Srinivasaiengar has made out a very strong case for the sanction of his promotion with retrospective effect. In any view, I hold that the least that could be done in justice to him is to sanction his promotion from 1st. July 1911 omitting the 8 months as "service not approved".

7. I therefore strongly support his prayer and request that you will be pleased to move the Government to order his recent promotion to take effect either from 6th. December 1910 or 1st. July 1911.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant.

Deputy Commissioner, Madura District.

From

D.Srinivasa Iyengar Esq. B.A.

Excise Assistant Commissioner,

Kadur District.

To

K.Krishna Iyer Esquire

Deputy Commissioner

Kadur District.

Dated 24th. May 1914.

Sir,

Adverting to Government Proceedings No. G.744-I-G.M.66-13-76 dated 14th March 19 promoting me from class V to class IV of Assistant Commissioners, I have the honor to bring these few lines for your kind consideration

2. I was eligible, in the natural course of things, for promotion to the IVth class from 6th. December 1910 and to the IIIrd class from 6th December 1912.

3. The consideration of the first of these promotions was deferred on account of the unfavourable reports said to have been made by the Inspector-General of Police and the Deputy Commissioner of Shimoga.

4. A perusal of the printed memorial already submitted by me makes it clear that:-

Copy enclosed for ready reference (Enclosure No.1)

(a) these reports are not judgments passed on any actual work of mine, but mere expressions of doubts or fears entertained (by the said officer

by the said officers,as to how I would acquit myself in certain posts,

(b) on the occasions when I was actually given such work I did it quite as well as any other,a fact testified to by these very officers as well as others besides;

(c) In spite of the standing orders* of Government,I was given no opportunity for the"removal of unfavourable impr "ssions formed" in the superior officer's mind without adequate "grounds, by suitable explanation."

* Govt.Proceedings No.1694-734/G.M 128-09-1 d 16-9-09

5. The Government Order sanctioning my promotion is seemingl favourable to me, and I feel sure it was intended to be so. But it fixes the date of my promotion only from 1st July 191 instaad of 6th. December 1910.

6. I beg to point out that this has the effect of putting me back by 2 years & 8 months,and by implication,treating the whole of this long period as "Service not approved".The fact of the matter,however, is that the unapproved service extend at the worst, over only 8 months(July 1910 to February 191 and hence it cannot be made a ground for depriving me of th promotion earned by hard work during the remaining period o 2 years,that is, since March 1911,when favourable reports have been made of me both by Mr.K.Srinivasa Rao & others.

7. The Government may be also pleased to take into consid ation the fact that the said two officers themselves have

(later on

later on written expressly disclaiming any intention to condemn me. I would also solicit kind attention, in particular, to Mr. K. Srinivasa Rao's report* (made after he had tried me in various capacities, as Treasury Officer, Muncipal Vice President, and as Revenu Sub-Division officer) that I had " earned my increment many time over and worked very satisfactorily ".

No. 27 a 8-7-12 to Rev. Commr.

8. I beg to enclose copies of my letter to the Inspector-Genera of Police (with his remarks) and of his letter to Government regarding me, which clearly prove that he had nothing to complai of when I was under training in his office and that he recommended my transfer outside only because there was no opening for me in his Department (Enclosures 2 & 3).

9. I further beg to append a brief statement of the work I was doing at Shimoga, which speaks for itself. (Enclosure 4).

lo. I feel confident that these facts have only to be pointed out for the mistake as to the date of my promotion to be rectified and I therefore request you to be so good as to obtain fo me my promotion with retrospective effect from the due date, instead of from 1st. July 1913.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

D. Srinivasa Iyengar

Excise Assistant Commissioner

Kadur District.

Enclosure 1.

MEMO.

I was one of the successful competitors in the Civil Service Examination of 1906, and was confirmed as Assistant Commissioner of the 5th Class, in December 1908.

2. In the usual course my promotion to the 4th Class was due in December 1910.

3 & 4. It has been witheld, according to the Government Order, on the strength of reports made by the Inspector-General of Police*, in whose office I was for 5 months from July to December 1910; and by the Deputy Commissioner*, Shimoga* District, while proposing certain arrangements, very shortly after I had joined his District.

* The late mr. D. Devaraj urs.

* mr. K. Srinivasa Rau

4. The other officers under whom I served have presumably approved of my services.

5. I understand that the Inspector-General of Police subsequently explained that the report construed as unfavourable to me was made in a special context, and was not meant to be taken as condemnatory of my work, since I had been given no chance of doing any work in his office and that he merely recommended my transfer to the Revenue Department, as there was no opening for me in his Department and as he thought me unsuited for Police work by reason of my then indifferent health.

6. As a set-off against this qualified and attenuated "disapproval," there is the fact that I was in independent Police charge of the Kolar District for nearly 5 months (February to July 1910) not only without unfavourable remarks (*e. g.* on my weekly Diaries) by the Inspector-General of Police, but with the positive approbation of the Deputy Commissioner of that District, who is now the Inspector-General of Police. This shows that the subsequent disclaimer by the Inspector-General of Police was not meant as a mere personal favour to me, but was the real explanation of what was inadvertently taken out of its context, and therefore seemed to mean more than was intended.

7. That condemnation of my work was not the intended gist of his report is further apparent from a consideration of the undermentioned facts :—

(*a*) The Inspector-General of Police does not seem to have reported my services as otherwise than approved in his periodical reports for the year 1910.

(*b*) I applied, in September 1910, to the Inspector-General of Police to get me posted to a District, as I had been under training in his office sufficiently long, and had not much work to do. Upon this, the Inspector-General stated that I had 8 months' training in the Police Department, that I *had been able to know the nature of the work in it* and that I might be transferred to the Revenue Department, as I had no opportunity for a District charge in his Department. This shows that I was learning the work for which I had been attached to his office (*vide* Letter No. 3033-132, dated 14th September 1910, from the Inspector-General of Police to the Secretary to Government, forwarding my application.)

(c) The Inspector-General forwarded my application (dated 5th December 1910) for promotion, to the Secretary without any adverse remarks, merely stating that I was learning work in his office.

8. If the Inspector-General intended to condemn me, he would hardly have written what he did, in these two instances. Instead, he would have expressly stated that I had no inclination to learn work nor the capacity to do it.

9. So far regarding the Inspector-General's report. I will now turn to the second count against me, *viz.*, the report of the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District. It may, however, be noted, to begin with, that this report relates to a period *subsequent* to that for which the promotion would be due.

10. The Deputy Commissioner was proposing an officer for the Sagar Sub-Division, then believed to be in a bad state, about March 1911. He had not then known my work for more than three months, and judging *a priori* from my inexperience of Revenue Sub-Division work, he seems to have expressed a fear that my transfer to Sagar would not improve matters there. The very same officer recommended me for charge of Sagar and Shimoga Sub-Divisions on subsequent occasions, and he used to entrust important and responsible District work to me. His evident and express approval of the way in which I did this work indicates that, with better acquaintance, he realised that his first fears were groundless. His subsequent favourable reports were the real expression of his deliberate opinion formed on a full knowledge of my capacity for work; and this mature opinion of his is also in accord with the opinion of the Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug District, who had recommended me for the charge of the Chitaldrug Sub-Division so far back as 1909, when I was serving in that District.

11. The first report of the Deputy Commissioner of Shimoga was thus an expression of diffidence rather than of condemnation. He felt doubts of my capacity for Revenue work, not because I was doing my work—at the Treasury—badly but because I had not done Revenue work before. It was too early for him to judge my capacity fully in so short a period as three months. That he had no complaint to make regarding my Treasury work, he has himself stated in the letter forwarding my representation (May 1911.) Nor did I give room for any dissatisfaction on the two occasions (August 1911 and March-April 1912 respectively) when I *was actually put* in charge of Sagar and Shimoga Sub-Divisions.

12. It is true that I occasionally suffered from malaria and headache in the year 1910 while at Bangalore, but I am now quite free from it, and believe myself to possess the health and stamina necessary for any executive work. I have been in the Malnad climate of Shimoga for the past two years and three months and I am none the worse for it. I have undergone more than one Medical Examination at various times (*e. g.*, by the Civil Service Medical Board,) and have been pronounced free from all constitutional defects.

13. Under Government Pro. No. G. 1694-734—G. M. 128-09-1, dated 16th September 1909, "copies of unfavourable reports are to be furnished to the officer reported against, to enable him both to remedy the defect noticed and to remove by suitable explanation any unfavourable impression formed without adequate grounds," and "an entry that this has been done should be made at the foot of the report." If the Inspector-General of Police and the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District, had meant their reports as condemnatory of my work, it is inconceivable that they would have set at naught the express provisions of this Government Order and refrained from giving me copies of their reports. The plain

inference would seem to follow from this, that what they intended their reports to convey is precisely also what the context points to,—*viz.*, that they are expressions of doubt or fear and not judgments passed upon my work. The services I *actually* rendered not having been disapproved, I respectfully urge that I am entitled to my grade promotion, under the Civil Service Rules of 1906.

14. To sum up, my case in brief is that :—

(a) I have been condemned and denied my promotion on the strength of certain reports, without having been given an opportunity for explanation.

(b) These reports *do not condemn any work I actually did*, but are merely expressive of fears and doubts entertained by the reporting officers regarding the manner in which *I am likely to do certain work* ; in other words, *I was not tried and found wanting, in the work for which it was feared I would not do* ; on the other hand, on the few occasions when I *was given* such work, I did it quite as well as other officers.

(c) The said reports have been taken as their final word on the subject, in spite of subsequent disclaimers and good reports by the officers themselves ;

(d) The reports cover a period of only 8 months in a total service of nearly 6½ years, the rest of which is all approved.

15. In the light of these facts it is seen that I have earned my promotion and I pray that the same may be graciously sanctioned by the Government of His Highness the Maharaja.

"Copy"

D. Srinivasa Iyengar

Chikmagalur
24th May 1914

Excise Asst. Commr.

Vokkaligara Sangha Press, Bangalore City.

(Enclosure 2)

Copy of application dated 1o-9-191o to the Inspector-General of Police from Mr.D.Srinivasa Iyengar,Assistant Commissioner, attached to the Office of the Inspector-General of Police.

Sir,

I have the honor to state that under the Civil Service Rule of 19o6,Assistant Commissioners of Schedule A are required to undergo training in the various branches of the service as marginally noted.

	Months
Accounts-	6
Survey -	Do
Judicial-	Do .

In the Accounts Branch,I have undergone the full period of training.In the survey,I have finished the measuring course and classification has to be learnt hereafter.And I have been in the Police for the past 8 months.

Since I joined your office,I have undergone training in the various branches of the office routine,and the Executive portion of Police work.I also acted as Superintendent of Police Kolar District,for about 4 months,and during that period, I had sufficent,practical training & experience.

In connection with the special work entrusted to me,viz, the drafting of rules under the New Police Regulation, I have had occasion to study the various systems of Police Adminstration obtaining in Madras,Bombay & other Provinces.

As I had a sufficently long training in your Department and as I have qualified myself by passing all the Departmental tests,I request that you will be pleased to move Government to send me to any District,so as to give me an opportunity to learn Revenue work.

I have &c

Sd/ D.Srinivasa Iyengar

Forward to Govt. recommending his transfer to the Revenue Dept. as there is no opportunity for him in my Department. Intd. D.D. 11-9-191o.

(Enclosure 3)

Copy of letter No.3033/132 dated 14-9-1910 from the Inspector-General of Police to the Officiating Secretary to Government.

I have the honor to forward herewith for orders of Govt. letter dated 10th. instant from Mr.D.Srinivasa Iyengar,Asst. Commissioner under training in the Police Department and has been able to know the nature of the work in it.

I do not think it desirable for him to remain any longer in this Department.He is anxious to get into the Revenue Department with a view to have an independent charge.He has no opportunity for the charge of a District in the Police Department.

Under this circumstance,I recommend his transfer from the Police Department and I request that you will be so good as to obtain early orders of Government in the matter.

I have &c

Sd/- M.Lakshmana Sastry

for I.J. of Police.

Enclosure No. 4.

Statement.

I was Treasury Assistant Commissioner and Muncipal Vice-President at Shimoga from December 1910 to February 1913, with the exception of about 3 months(March to June) in 1912, when I was the Sub-Division officer, Shimoga Division.

In August 1911, I detected a case of defalcation of Govt. money committed by a Treasury clerk in the time of my predecessor, which had escaped unnoticed. This man was prosecuted and convicted in the Sessions Court.

The Office of the Deputy Commissioner(Mr.K.Srinivasa Rao) had been much disorganised and there used to be received reminders in frequent batches. The Deputy Commissioner asked me to overhaul his office and to take steps for the disposal of long-pending references. I worked so hard at this that almost all the old arrears were cleared in about 3 or 4 months and reminders from the Government and Heads of Departments became comparitively rare.

Mr.K.Srinivasa Rao has many a time thanked me for this and expressed his thorough satisfaction at the state to which I was able to bring his office.

To improve the work in the Taluk offices, Mr.K.Srinivasa-Rao then directed me to open all his Vernacular tappal to dispose of papers promptly and to bring all important & urgent references to his notice for prompt action. In this work too, I won his approbation.

He used to consult me & take my suggestions on Intricate questions of Revenue Law. He appreciated in particular the notes I used to put up on old & complicated records. Under his instructions, I took away from the hands of the Ministerial officers the work of drafting letters and reports in all important matters & used to attend to it myself. My work when in charge of the Shimoga Sub-Division was also to his entire satisfaction and he never had cause to regret having selected me for the post. He appreciated all this work so highly that he wrote in July 1912 that I had "earned my increment many times over and thatmy work was highly satisfactory". He also told me that he had spoken of me very

highly to the Dewan & Councillors in the interview given to all Deputy Commissioners at the Birthday Sessions in June 1912.

By frequent and sudden inspection, the Muncipal staff was kept in an efficient state, and detected and successfully prosecuted many cases of smuggling. The statement below showing the comparitive collections in the Muncipality speaks for itself:-

SHIMOGA MUNCIPALITY.

Name of officer	Period	Monthly collections(average) under		
		1.Octroi	2.Mohatarfa house-tax per single collector	3.Cattle pound
(a) Mr. A.Mrityunja Iyer & Mr. H.T.Mahadeva Rao	1909	471	300	29
(b) Mr. L.Krishna Rao	1910	539	317	34
(c) Mr. D.Srinivasa Iyengar	1911 & 1912 (upto August)	692	380	42

D. Srinivasa Iyengar

Excise Assistant Commissioner

Kadur District.

Dated Chikmagalur

24-5-1914

G.R.S. 28]

File No.

Serial No. 115

*Diary No. of letter replied to*_____

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

( )

, *the* 191 .

READ—

Notification No. G.7441-G.M.-66-13-76 dy 14-3-1914 Sanction-ing the promotion of Mr. D. Srinivasaiengar, BA to the 4th class of Assistant Commissions

ORDER THEREON.—~~No.~~

Schedule A, with effect from the 1st July 1913.

Date of issue 27-9-1915

Signed by Asst. Secy R. A...

(2) ~~letter No~~ Correspondence ending with letter No. 974 F. dated 13-6-14 ~~forwarding with~~ this.

from the Excise Comm^r in Mysore forwarding with

Memorial dy 24-5-14 from Mr. D. Srinivasaiengar praying that his promotion

over.

SUBJECT.

GPB—50,000—1-12-12

to the 4th class may be given effect to from 6th Dec. 1910 instead of from 1st July 1913.

(3) ~~Do letter~~ Letter dated 25. 10. 14 from the Dy: Comr, Kadur District on the same subject Order thereon No.

After a careful consideration of all the circumstances of the case, Government are pleased to direct that the promotion of Mr. D. Srinivasa Iyengar to the 4th class of Assistant Commissioners, Schedule A be given effect to from 1st April 1912 instead of from 1st July 1913 as directed in the notification read above.

Secretary to Govt
Gen: Deptt

To
The Comptroller to Govt.
The Rev. Commr
The Excise Commr. for Communication to Mr. D. Srinivasa Iyengar.